

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Hatenti and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Bo 1450 Alexandry, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|-------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------|--|
| 10/003,734 | 11/01/2001 | Charles G. Williamson | 09741620/0206 | 09741620/0206 2705 | |
| 7590 03/16/2006 | | | EXAMINER | | |
| SONNENSCHEIN NATH & ROSENTHAL | | | BAHTA, KIDEST | | |
| Sears Tower Wacker Drive S | tation | | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | | |
| P.O. Box 061080 Chicago, IL 60606-1080 | | | 2125 DATE MAILED: 03/16/2006 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|--|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| Notice of Abandonment | 10/003,734 | WILLIAMSON, | CHARLES G. | | | |
| Notice of Abandonment | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Kidest Bahta | 2125 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | | <u> </u> | ldress | | | |
| This application is abandoned in view of: | | | | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate of M period for reply (including a total extension of time of, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed to the proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed to proposed to the proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed to proposed to the proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed to proposed to the proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed to proposed | lailing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on | <u> </u> | · | | | |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114). | | | | | | |
| (c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below). | | | | | | |
| (d) No reply has been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). | | | | | | |
| (a) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was), which is after the expiration of the statutory pe Allowance (PTOL-85). | received on (with a Certification of the issue fee (and the issu | ate of Mailing or Tr ad publication fee) s | ansmission dated et in the Notice of | | | |
| (b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance | of \$is due. | | | | | |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ | he publication fee, if required by 37 | CFR 1.18(d), is \$ | · | | | |
| (c) \square The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no | t been received. | | | | | |
| 3 Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requ Allowability (PTO-37). | ired by, and within the three-month p | period set in, the No | otice of | | | |
| (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply. | | | | | | |
| (b) \(\subseteq \text{No corrected drawings have been received.} \) | · | | | | | |
| The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants. | e attorney or agent of record, the assi | ignee of the entire i | nterest, or all of | | | |
| The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. | attorney or agent (acting in a representation) | entative capacity ui | nder 37 CFR | | | |
| 5. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim | | e the period for see | eking court review | | | |
| 7. 🛭 The reason(s) below: | | | | | | |
| Mr. Sigale confirmed that no response was mailed for | or the office action mailed July 19 | , 2005. | | | | |
| | | D | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01)

Notice of Abandonment

Part of Paper No. 20060314